

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/749,793	GARIKIPATI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank M. Lawrence	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Frank M. Lawrence.

(2) Daniel Pauly.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 June 2006

Time: 3 pm e.t.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1, 4, 11

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant authorized and examiner's amendment to distinguish claim 1 over the prior art, including the recitation that the first diffusion channel has an extended length and by incorporating the limitations of claims 4 and 11 into claim 1. Claims 4 and 11 have been canceled and the dependencies of claims 5-7 and 11 have been corrected as a result..